

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/967,306	09/28/2001	Karim Esmailzadeh	13771-118	5203
32300 7590 02/28/2007 BRIGGS AND MORGAN P.A. 2200 IDS CENTER 80 SOUTH 8TH ST MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			EXAMINER	
			LEE, KEVIN L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WINNEAT OLIS, WIN 33402			3753	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/28/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/967,306	ESMAILZADEH, KARIM				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	KEVIN L. LEE	3753				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may d will apply and will expire SIX (6) M ute, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31	<u>July 2006</u> .					
,-	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
• • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ad	ccepted or b) objected	to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.	N A THOMAS A .				
2. Certified copies of the certified copies of the profit of the certified copies cop						
application from the International Bure		•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Intervie	w Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application				

DETAILED ACTION

Reissue Applications

Applicant's amendment filed July 31, 2006 has been thoroughly reviewed and considered by the examiner. The supplemental declaration filed February 27, 2006 is accepted; therefore, the prior rejection of claims 1-21 as set forth in the Office action mailed December 14, 2005 is hereby withdrawn. The claim objection is also withdrawn in view of applicant's amendment that lists the claims on a separate sheet.

This application is objected to under 37 CFR 1.172(a) as lacking the written consent of all assignees owning an undivided interest in the patent. The consent of the assignee must be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.172. See MPEP § 1410.01.

A proper assent of the assignee in compliance with 37 CFR 1.172 and 3.73 is required in reply to this Office action.

The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective because it fails to identify at least one error which is relied upon to support the reissue application. See 37 CFR 1.175(a)(1) and MPEP § 1414. The reissue declaration filed September 28, 2001 states that applicant inadvertently failed to claim the invention more broadly "as not requiring a 'control mechanism.'" This statement is vague and indefinite because applicant's amended claim 1 deletes the limitation of the "control mechanism." The amended claim 1 does not recite that a control mechanism is not required as stated in the declaration. In addition, it is noted that the limitation of the "control mechanism" is

Art Unit: 3753

retained in independent claims 11 and 18. The declaration should recite in particularity that broadening of claim 1 is the removal of the limitation of the "control mechanism as a part of the city water flushing and sludge prevention apparatus. Applicant must also provide an "explanation as to the nature of the broadening."

The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective because the statement that the original patent is wholly or partly inoperative or invalid "by reason of the patentee claiming **more or less** than he had the right to claim" (emphasis added) is improper since a claim cannot claim "more or less" at the same time.

Claims 1-21 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251 as set forth above. See 37 CFR 1.175.

The nature of the defect(s) in the declaration is set forth in the discussion above in this Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2-4, 6 and 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The following recitations are noted to lack antecedent basis: "said control mechanism" in claims 2 and 3; "the branch street water

Application/Control Number: 09/967,306

Art Unit: 3753

main" in claim 4, claim 11, line 15 and claim 18, line 19; and "said control system" in claims 6 and 13.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KEVIN L. LEE whose telephone number is (571) 272-4915. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ERIC KEASEL can be reached on (571) 272-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

February 26, 2007

Page 4